Strodes Mills El Sch

Schoolwide Title 1 School Plan | 2023 - 2024

Profile and Plan Essentials

School		AUN/Branch	AUN/Branch	
Strodes Mills Elementary		111444602	111444602	
Address 1				
185 Chestnut Ridge Road				
Address 2				
City	State	Zip Code		
McVeytown	PA	17051		
Chief School Administrator		Chief School Administrator Email	Chief School Administrator Email	
Vance Varner		vsv55@mcsdk12.org	vsv55@mcsdk12.org	
Principal Name				
Frank Miller				
Principal Email				
fwm28@mcsdk12.org				
Principal Phone Number		Principal Extension		
717-248-7154		1505	-	
School Improvement Facilitator Name		School Improvement Facilitator Email	School Improvement Facilitator Email	
N/A		N/A	N/A	

Steering Committee

csdk12.org
.org
dk12.org
dk12.org
csdk12.org
sdk12.org
csdk12.org
csdk12.org
sdk12.org
sdk12.org
rand@gmail.com
and@gmail.com
sdk12.org

Vision for Learning

Vision for Learning

We envision an innovative, stimulating, and exciting school community where all participants are actively engaged in learning in a safe and secure environment. We strive to offer an educational opportunity where each student acquires the skills necessary to maximize potential and enhance creative thinking. We believe we must use all local, state and federal resources to support literacy instruction as it is a basic human right to learn how to read in a supportive literacy environment. We commit to continuous growth and utilization of best practices in assessment and instruction through a Multi Tiered System of Support. We believe it is our responsibility to educate each student to be respectful, responsible, ready and safe in all settings. We believe children need a positive, nurturing environment; therefore we will build relationships among students, families, staff and the community that support each other. We believe that all children can learn, but students learn at different paces and in a variety of ways. We will strive to meet each student where they are in the learning process and adapt instruction to help them succeed.

Future Ready PA Index

Select the grade levels served by your school. Select all that apply.

True K	True 1	True 2	True 3	False 4	False 5	False 6
False 7	False 8	False 9	False 10	False 11	False 12	

Review of the School Level Performance

Review of Grade Level(s) and Individual Student Group(s)

Strengths

Indicator	
52.6% of All Student group were Proficient or Advanced on 2022 ELA PSSA	Comments/Notable Observations
ESSA Student Subgroups	Increase of 13.2% of grade 3 students who performed at the
African-American/Black, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Asian (not Hispanic), Hawaiian	advanced/proficient level in English Language Arts from 2021 to
Native/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, Multi-Racial (not Hispanic), White, Economically	2022.
Disadvantaged, English Learners, Students with Disabilities	
Indicator	
45.5% of Economically Disadvantaged students were Advanced/Proficient on the 2022 ELA	Comments/Notable Observations
PSSA 24% of Economically Disadvantaged students were Advanced/Proficient on the 2021	Increase of 21.5% of grade 3 Economically Disadvantaged students
ELA PSSA	who performed at the advanced/proficient level in English
ESSA Student Subgroups	Language Arts from 2021 to 2022.
Economically Disadvantaged	

Challenges

Indicator 52.6% of All Student group were Proficient or Advanced on 2022 ELA PSSA ESSA Student Subgroups African-American/Black, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Asian (not Hispanic), Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, Multi-Racial (not Hispanic), White, Economically Disadvantaged, English Learners, Students with Disabilities	Comments/Notable Observations Increase of 13.2% of grade 3 students who performed at the advanced/proficient level in English Language Arts from 2021 to 2022.
Indicator 45.5% of Economically Disadvantaged students were Advanced/Proficient on the 2022 ELA PSSA 24% of Economically Disadvantaged students were Advanced/Proficient on the 2021 ELA PSSA ESSA Student Subgroups	Comments/Notable Observations Increase of 21.5% of grade 3 Economically Disadvantaged students who performed at the advanced/proficient level in English Language Arts from 2021 to 2022.

Economically Disadvantaged	
Indicator	Comments/Notable Observations
ESSA Student Subgroups	Comments/Notable Observations

Summary

Strengths

Review the strengths listed above and copy and paste 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

2022 PSSA results released by PDE reports 52.6% of our grade 3 students scored at the advanced/proficient level in English Language Arts. Increase of 13.2% of grade 3 students who performed at the advanced/proficient level in English Language Arts from 2021 to 2022.

45.5% of Economically Disadvantaged students were Advanced/Proficient on the 2022 ELA PSSA. Increase of 21.5% of grade 3 Economically Disadvantaged students who performed at the advanced/proficient level in English Language Arts from 2021 to 2022.

2022 PSSA results released by PDE reports 47.4% of our grade 3 students scored at the advanced/proficient level in Mathematics. Increase of 16.6% of grade 3 students who performed at the advanced/proficient level in Mathematics from 2021 to 2022.

Challenges

Review the challenges listed above and copy and paste 2-5 challenges if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Future Ready PA index targets.

52.6% of All Student group were Proficient or Advanced on 2022 ELA PSSA.

47.4% of All Student group were Proficient or Advanced on 2022 Math PSSA

83.6% of All Students with Regular Attendance. Decrease of 9.1% of All Students with Regular Attendance from 2021 to 2022. Economically Disadvantaged students Regular Attendance is 79.1% Students with Disabilities Regular Attendance is 75.9%

Local Assessment

English Language Arts

Data	Comments/Notable Observations
Acadience Grade K	62% of Grade K students are At or Above Benchmark on EOY Reading Composite Score. Decrease of 21% from previous
Acadience Grade K	year.
Acadience Grade 1	68% of Grade 1 students are At or Above Benchmark on EOY Reading Composite Score. Increase of 16% from previous
Acadience Grade 1	year.
Acadience Grade 2	46% of Grade 2 students are At or Above Benchmark on EOY Reading Composite Score. Increase of 3% from previous
Acadience Grade 2	year.
Acadience Grade 3	42% of Grade 3 students are At or Above Benchmark on EOY Reading Composite Score. Decrease of 3% from previous
Acadience Grade 5	year.
Benchmark Assessment System Grade	90% of Grade K students are Reading Level D or have grown at minimum 2 levels from BOY to EOY.
K	30% of Grade R students are Reading Level D of flave grown at fillillilliant 2 levels from BOT to LOT.
Benchmark Assessment System Grade	93% of Grade 1 students are Reading Level I or have grown at minimum 4 levels from BOY to EOY.
1	33% of Grade 1 Students are Reading Leverror have grown at minimum 4 levels from Bot to Lot.
Benchmark Assessment System Grade	94% of Grade 2 students are Reading Level M or have grown at minimum 3 levels from BOY to EOY.
2	34/0 of Grade 2 students are reading sever with have grown at minimum 3 levels from Bot to Lot.
Benchmark Assessment System Grade	76% of Grade 3 students are Reading Level P or have grown at minimum 3 levels from BOY to EOY.
3	7070 of Grade 3 stadents are recalling Level 1 of have grown at minimum 3 levels from Bot to Lot.

English Language Arts Summary

Strengths

Monthly Problem Solving Team meetings to analyze data and develop intervention groups based on an MTSS framework. District psychologists are working collaboratively with PaTTAN (Dr. Collins) to rewrite MTSS handbook.

SLD/RTI determination for ELA in practice at SMES.

Analysis of student growth in BAS reading levels and Acadience Pathway to Progress.

Heggerty, 95% Group, West Virginia Phonics, Early Reading Intervention, Lexia, iReady, Flyleaf Decodable Readers continue to be used as supplemental resources. SMES teachers use these resources with fidelity.

Challenges

Deficit in phonemic awareness and phonics skills have created an "inverted triangle" of students in grade K and 1 that are likely in need of strategic or intensive support.

A lack of technology devices and internet accessibility impacted remote learning for many of our students throughout the COVID 19 pandemic during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school year. The district made a significant investment in a technology 1:1 initiative to support remote learning and differentiated instruction. Technology is now used in the classroom on a daily basis to support and enhance instruction.

Mathematics

Data	Comments/Notable Observations
Spring Math Grade K	93% of students "Met Target" on Spring Screen. Increase of 74% from Fall Screen.
Spring Math Grade 1	61% of students "Met Target" on Spring Screen. Increase of 39% from Fall Screen.
Spring Math Grade 2	70% of students "Met Target" on Spring Screen. Increase of 60% from Fall Screen
Spring Math Grade 3	23% of students "Met Target" on Spring Screen. Increase of 20% from Fall Screen.

Mathematics Summary

Strengths

Monthly Problem Solving Team meetings to analyze data and develop intervention groups based on a MTSS framework

SMES Title I math teacher provides ongoing support and coaching to analyze Spring Math data with K-3 teachers during Act 80 professional development days.

Spring Math's decision trees take the guesswork out of intervention selection, matching our students with the right interventions that are delivered class-wide, to small groups, and individually.

SMES teachers continue to use Spring Math with fidelity.

Challenges

Students get stuck on one skill for an extended period of time.

Assigning peer to peer partner review needs to be done strategically and monitored frequently for effectiveness.

A lack of technology devices and internet accessibility impacted remote learning for many of our students throughout the COVID 19 pandemic during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school year. The district made a significant investment in a technology 1:1 initiative to support remote learning and differentiated instruction. Technology is now used in the classroom on a daily basis to support and enhance instruction.

Science, Technology, and Engineering Education

Data	Comments/Notable Observations
N/A	N/A

Science, Technology, and Engineering Education Summary

Strengths

N/A

Challenges

N/A

Related Academics

Career Readiness

Comments/Notable Observations Data After reviewing data and making notable observation over the past year, some strengths would be our educators and specialist staff allow students to explore their interests and skills within the daily life of their classrooms concurrently with the Xello/CCspark. program which meet the career readiness criteria. The online program has many benefits, allows our students to have a portfolio which follow their career, it allows for our schools to track and assure all students are meeting the states criteria in a standardized way. Additional strengths of our Our data source used is Xello/CCspark. CCspark is the K-2 platform and 3rd career readiness program, students are exposed to career readiness through grades works in the Xello platform which follows them to high school. multiple field trips and experiences outside of the classroom throughout the Xello/CCspark, has been carefully designed to help students acquire early school year and at the different grade levels. Challenges faced would specifically career development concepts and foster critical thinking skills, through an be making time for the Xello/CCspark. program on top of the other initiatives our age-appropriate approach to National Career Development Standards. educators uphold in their classrooms. Although our educators and staff are implementing career readiness within their classrooms daily, the Xello/CCspark. program specifically tracks the data that is needed for the state. An added challenge is the general technical difficulty implementing the online program such as the log in process with children of this age. Although the program is helpful with tracking data, it has some short comings in terms of accounting for the enriching experiences our students receive in class.

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

True Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs Omit

Arts and Humanities

True Arts and Humanities Omit

Environment and Ecology

True Environment and Ecology Omit

Family and Consumer Sciences

True Family and Consumer Sciences Omit

Health, Safety, and Physical Education

True Health, Safety, and Physical Education Omit

Social Studies (Civics and Government, Economics, Geography, History)

True Social Studies (Civics and Government, Economics, Geography, History) Omit

Summary

Strengths

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

Our strengths as a school in terms of implement our career readiness program is that our educators and staff are meeting the career readiness standards with the education they are providing our students. Our educators have been very flexible when it comes to the online program challenges faced and understand the need for students to be able to have an online portfolio for their career readiness. We continue to refine and grow each year in how to assure the online program fits our schedules and students needs.

The interface and platform have changed, making for better use for our staff and students.

Challenges

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record 2-5 Challenges which if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Mission and Vision.

The technical pieces could be resolved by working closely with the tech department to make the login process more user friendly.

Additionally, working with the online program company in the future with updates and changes to their platform will help us as a district utilize this resource better in terms of being able to collect classroom data and uploading items to the students online portfolio.

Equity Considerations

English Learners

True This student group is not a focus in this plan.

Students with Disabilities

True This student group is not a focus in this plan.

Students Considered Economically Disadvantaged

False This student group is not a focus in this plan.

Data	Comments/Notable Observations
45.5% of Economically Disadvantaged students were Advanced/Proficient on the	Economically Disadvantaged students 7.1% below the All Student Group but
2022 ELA PSSA 24% of Economically Disadvantaged students were	an increase of 20.5% Economically Disadvantaged Students
Advanced/Proficient on the 2021 ELA PSSA	Advanced/Proficient from 2021 to 2022.
40.9% of Economically Disadvantaged students were Advanced/Proficient on the	Economically Disadvantaged students 6.5% below the All Student Group but
2022 Math PSSA 16% of Economically Disadvantaged students were	an increase of 24.9% Economically Disadvantaged Students
Advanced/Proficient on the 2021 Math PSSA	Advanced/Proficient from 2021 to 2022.

Student Groups by Race/Ethnicity

False This student group is not a focus in this plan.

Student Groups	Comments/Notable Observations
White	52.6% of All Student group in comparison to 54.1% of White Student group were Advanced/Proficient on 2022 ELA PSSA. Since all other Race/Ethnicity subgroups are listed as IS (Insufficient Sample), we are pleased to note these percentages show equity in our performance of other

	Race/Ethnicity subgroups.
	47.4% of All Student group in comparison to 48.6% of White Student group were Advanced/Proficient on 2022 Math PSSA. Since all other
White	Race/Ethnicity subgroups are listed as IS (Insufficient Sample), we are pleased to note these percentages show equity in our performance of other
	Race/Ethnicity subgroups.

Summary

Strengths

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record the 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

52.6% of All Student group in comparison to 54.1% of White Student group were Advanced/Proficient on 2022 ELA PSSA. Since all other Race/Ethnicity subgroups are listed as IS (Insufficient Sample), we are pleased to note these percentages show equity in our performance of other Race/Ethnicity subgroups.
47.4% of All Student group in comparison to 48.6% of White Student group were Advanced/Proficient on 2022 Math PSSA. Since all other Race/Ethnicity subgroups are listed as IS (Insufficient Sample), we are pleased to note these percentages show equity in our performance of other Race/Ethnicity subgroups.

Challenges

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record the 2-5 Challenges which if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Mission and Vision.

45.5% of Economically Disadvantaged students were Advanced/Proficient on the 2022 ELA PSSA
40.9% of Economically Disadvantaged students were Advanced/Proficient on the 2022 Math PSSA

Conditions for Leadership, Teaching, and Learning

Focus on Continuous improvement of Instruction

Align curricular materials and lesson plans to the PA Standards	Operational
Use systematic, collaborative planning processes to ensure instruction is coordinated, aligned, and evidence-based	Operational
Use a variety of assessments (including diagnostic, formative, and summative) to monitor student learning and adjust programs and instructional practices	Operational
Identify and address individual student learning needs	Operational
Provide frequent, timely, and systematic feedback and support on instructional practices	Operational

Empower Leadership

Foster a culture of high expectations for success for all students, educators, families, and community members	Operational
Collectively shape the vision for continuous improvement of teaching and learning	Operational
Build leadership capacity and empower staff in the development and successful implementation of initiatives that better serve students, staff, and the school	Operational
Organize programmatic, human, and fiscal capital resources aligned with the school improvement plan and needs of the school community	Operational
Continuously monitor implementation of the school improvement plan and adjust as needed	Operational

Provide Student-Centered Support Systems

Promote and sustain a positive school environment where all members feel welcomed, supported, and safe in school: socially, emotionally, intellectually and physically	Operational
Implement an evidence-based system of schoolwide positive behavior interventions and supports	Operational
Implement a multi-tiered system of supports for academics and behavior	Operational
Implement evidence-based strategies to engage families to support learning	Operational
Partner with local businesses, community organizations, and other agencies to meet the needs of the school	Operational

Foster Quality Professional Learning

Identify professional learning needs through analysis of a variety of data	Operational
Use multiple professional learning designs to support the learning needs of staff	Operational
Monitor and evaluate the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning	Operational

Summary

Strengths

Which Essential Practices are currently Operational or Exemplary and could be leveraged in your efforts to improve upon your most pressing challenges?

Use a variety of assessments (including diagnostic, formative, and summative) to monitor student learning and adjust programs and instructional practices

Build leadership capacity and empower staff in the development and successful implementation of initiatives that better serve students, staff, and the school

Implement a multi-tiered system of supports for academics and behavior. District psychologists are working collaboratively with PaTTAN (Dr. Collins) to rewrite

MTSS handbook.

Organize programmatic, human, and fiscal capital resources aligned with the school improvement plan and needs of the school community Identify and address individual student learning needs.

Challenges

Thinking about all the most pressing challenges identified in the previous sections, which of the Essential Practices that are currently Not Yet Evident or Emerging, if improved, would greatly impact your progress in achieving your mission, vision and Future Ready PA Index interim targets in State Assessment Measures, On-Track Measures, or College and Career Measures?

Partner with local businesses, community organizations, and other agencies to meet the needs of the school

Continuously monitor implementation of the school improvement plan and adjust as needed

Having the human, fiscal and programmatic capital resources to identify and meet the individual and diverse student learning needs of all students.

Summary of Strengths and Challenges from the Needs Assessment

Strengths

Examine the Summary of Strengths. Identify the strengths that are most positively contributing to achievement of your mission and vision. Check the box to the right of these identified strength(s).

Strength	Check for Consideration in
	Plan
45.5% of Economically Disadvantaged students were Advanced/Proficient on the 2022 ELA PSSA. Increase of 21.5% of grade 3 Economically Disadvantaged students who performed at the advanced/proficient level in English Language Arts from 2021 to 2022.	False
2022 PSSA results released by PDE reports 52.6% of our grade 3 students scored at the advanced/proficient level in English Language Arts. Increase of 13.2% of grade 3 students who performed at the advanced/proficient level in English Language Arts from 2021 to 2022.	False
2022 PSSA results released by PDE reports 47.4% of our grade 3 students scored at the advanced/proficient level in Mathematics. Increase of 16.6% of grade 3 students who performed at the advanced/proficient level in Mathematics from 2021 to 2022.	False
Monthly Problem Solving Team meetings to analyze data and develop intervention groups based on an MTSS framework. District psychologists are working collaboratively with PaTTAN (Dr. Collins) to rewrite MTSS handbook.	False
SLD/RTI determination for ELA in practice at SMES.	False
Analysis of student growth in BAS reading levels and Acadience Pathway to Progress.	False
Heggerty, 95% Group, West Virginia Phonics, Early Reading Intervention, Lexia, iReady, Flyleaf Decodable Readers continue to be used as supplemental resources. SMES teachers use these resources with fidelity.	False
N/A	False
The interface and platform have changed, making for better use for our staff and students.	False
Use a variety of assessments (including diagnostic, formative, and summative) to monitor student learning and adjust programs and instructional practices	True
Build leadership capacity and empower staff in the development and successful implementation of initiatives that better serve students, staff, and the school	False
Implement a multi-tiered system of supports for academics and behavior. District psychologists are working collaboratively with PaTTAN (Dr. Collins) to rewrite MTSS handbook.	True
52.6% of All Student group in comparison to 54.1% of White Student group were Advanced/Proficient on 2022 ELA PSSA. Since all other Race/Ethnicity subgroups are listed as IS (Insufficient Sample), we are pleased to note these percentages show equity in our performance of other Race/Ethnicity subgroups.	False
47.4% of All Student group in comparison to 48.6% of White Student group were Advanced/Proficient on 2022 Math PSSA. Since all other Race/Ethnicity subgroups are listed as IS (Insufficient Sample), we are pleased to note these percentages show equity in our performance of other Race/Ethnicity subgroups.	False

Organize programmatic, human, and fiscal capital resources aligned with the school improvement plan and needs of the school community	True
Monthly Problem Solving Team meetings to analyze data and develop intervention groups based on a MTSS framework	True
SMES Title I math teacher provides ongoing support and coaching to analyze Spring Math data with K-3 teachers during Act 80 professional development days.	False
Our strengths as a school in terms of implement our career readiness program is that our educators and staff are meeting the career readiness standards with the education they are providing our students. Our educators have been very flexible when it comes to the online program challenges faced and understand the need for students to be able to have an online portfolio for their career readiness. We continue to refine and grow each year in how to assure the online program fits our schedules and students needs.	False
Spring Math's decision trees take the guesswork out of intervention selection, matching our students with the right interventions that are delivered class-wide, to small groups, and individually.	False
SMES teachers continue to use Spring Math with fidelity.	False
Identify and address individual student learning needs.	True

Challenges

Examine the Summary of Challenges. Identify the challenges which are most pressing at this time for your School and if improved would have the most pronounced impact in achieving your mission and vision. Check the box to the right of these identified challenge(s).

Strength	Check for Consideration in
Strength	Plan
52.6% of All Student group were Proficient or Advanced on 2022 ELA PSSA.	True
47.4% of All Student group were Proficient or Advanced on 2022 Math PSSA	True
83.6% of All Students with Regular Attendance. Decrease of 9.1% of All Students with Regular Attendance from 2021 to 2022. Economically Disadvantaged students Regular Attendance is 79.1% Students with Disabilities Regular Attendance is 75.9%	False
Deficit in phonemic awareness and phonics skills have created an "inverted triangle" of students in grade K and 1 that are likely in need of strategic or intensive support.	True
Students get stuck on one skill for an extended period of time.	False
Assigning peer to peer partner review needs to be done strategically and monitored frequently for effectiveness.	False
The technical pieces could be resolved by working closely with the tech department to make the login process more user friendly.	False
A lack of technology devices and internet accessibility impacted remote learning for many of our students throughout the COVID 19 pandemic during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school year. The district made a significant investment in a technology 1:1 initiative to support remote learning and differentiated instruction. Technology is now used in the classroom on a daily basis to support and enhance instruction.	False
Additionally, working with the online program company in the future with updates and changes to their platform will help us as a district utilize this resource better in terms of being able to collect classroom data and uploading items to the students online portfolio.	False

Partner with local businesses, community organizations, and other agencies to meet the needs of the school	False
45.5% of Economically Disadvantaged students were Advanced/Proficient on the 2022 ELA PSSA	False
40.9% of Economically Disadvantaged students were Advanced/Proficient on the 2022 Math PSSA	False
N/A	False
Continuously monitor implementation of the school improvement plan and adjust as needed	False
A lack of technology devices and internet accessibility impacted remote learning for many of our students throughout the COVID 19 pandemic during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school year. The district made a significant investment in a technology 1:1 initiative to support remote learning and differentiated instruction. Technology is now used in the classroom on a daily basis to support and enhance instruction.	True
Having the human, fiscal and programmatic capital resources to identify and meet the individual and diverse student learning needs of all students.	False

Most Notable Observations/Patterns

In the space provided, record any of the comments and notable observations made as your team worked through the needs assessment that stand out as important to the challenge(s) you checked for consideration in your comprehensive plan.

Overall proficiency on the English Language Arts and Mathematics PSSA is a concern. ELA PSSA results were significantly impacted by the COVID 19 pandemic. ELA Advanced/proficient students fell from 68.9% in 2019 to 39.2% in 2021. A decrease of 29.7% 52.6% of were Proficient or Advanced on 2022 ELA PSSA. Math PSSA results were significantly impacted by the COVID 19 pandemic. Math Advanced/proficient students fell from 66.7% in 2019 to 30.8% in 2021. A decrease of 35.9% 47.4% were Proficient or Advanced on 2022 Math PSSA. EOY Acadience data reports 75% of (kindergarten/first grade) students are performing at or above the 25th percentile on their Reading Composite Score. (72% Kindergarten and 80% Grade 1) Goal was 85% for 2022-2023. BAS data indicates students have made growth from BOY to EOY but many students are still well below the recommended level for their respective grade. The district made a significant investment in a technology 1:1 initiative and instructional software to support remote learning and differentiated instruction.

Analyzing (Strengths and Challenges)

Analyzing Challenges

Analyzing Challenges	Discussion Points	Check for Priority
52.6% of All Student group were Proficient or Advanced on 2022 ELA PSSA.	Discussion of the implementation of the Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System to determine student's independent and instructional reading levels, teachers are able to observe student reading behaviors one-on-one, engage in comprehension conversations that go beyond retelling, and make informed decisions that connect assessment to instruction.	True
47.4% of All Student group were Proficient or Advanced on 2022 Math PSSA	Discussion of Spring Math's interactive platform to combine streamlined tools for assessment, reporting, and intervention to provide a clear path to math achievement for every student.	True
Deficit in phonemic awareness and phonics skills have created an "inverted triangle" of students in grade K and 1 that are likely in need of strategic or intensive support.	Implementation of University of Florida Literacy Institute Phonics (UFLI), Heggerty Phonemic Awareness, Flyleaf Decodable Readers and Early Reading Intervention (ERI).	True
A lack of technology devices and internet accessibility impacted remote learning for many of our students throughout the COVID 19 pandemic during the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school year. The district made a significant investment in a technology 1:1 initiative to support remote learning and differentiated instruction. Technology is now used in the classroom on a daily basis to support and enhance instruction.	ESSER funds will be used to make a significant investment in a technology 1:1 initiative and instructional software to support remote learning and differentiated instruction for the 2023-2043 school year.	False

Analyzing Strengths

Analyzing Strengths	Discussion Points
Use a variety of assessments (including diagnostic, formative, and	A variety of assessments are used to frequently and directly monitor and chart student
summative) to monitor student learning and adjust programs and	response to interventions. (Benchmark Assessment System, Adadience/DIBELS, QPS,
instructional practices	Spring Math)
Implement a multi-tiered system of supports for academics and	Strodes Mills continues to develop/refine a Multi-Tiered System of Support handbook to
behavior. District psychologists are working collaboratively with	assure fidelity of implementation by all stakeholders. MTSS handbook will be rewritten
PaTTAN (Dr. Collins) to rewrite MTSS handbook.	with PaTTAN (Dr. Collins) during the summer of 2023.
Organize programmatic, human, and fiscal capital resources	Federal Program Coordinator meets monthly with building principal to determine how Title
aligned with the school improvement plan and needs of the school	I funds can supplement programmatic and human resources to support student and
community	building needs identified in school improvement plan.
Monthly Problem Solving Team meetings to analyze data and	Utilization of Pathways of Progress from Acadience to make decisions regarding tiered

develop intervention groups based on a MTSS framework	supports.
	Title I and ESSER funds will support the purchase of MTSS resources, staff professional
Identify and address individual student learning needs.	development (LETRS) and rewriting curriculum to help support and promote differentiated
	instruction as a direct result of the impact of the COVID 19 pandemic.

Priority Challenges

Analyzing Priority Challenges	Priority Statements
	Strodes Mills Elementary will continue the implementation of the Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System to determine student's independent and instructional reading levels, teachers are able to observe student reading behaviors one-on-one, engage in comprehension conversations that go beyond retelling, and make informed decisions that connect assessment to instruction.
	Strodes Mills will continue implementation of Spring Math interactive platform to combine streamlined tools for assessment, reporting, and intervention to provide a clear path to math achievement for every student.
	Strodes Mills will continue implementation of Heggerty Phonemic Awareness with all Kindergarten and Grade 1 students on a daily basis. K- 1 students who demonstrate a need will receive an additional time to have Heggerty pretaught to them on a daily basis. Early Reading Intervention will be delivered throughout the entire school year as a tier of intervention to all K students who score below the 10th percentile on DIBELS/Acadience DCS at BOY and MOY. Flyleaf Decodable Readers will be implemented with Tier II K-3 students in guided reading groups. University of Florida Literacy Institute Phonics (UFLI) will be implemented with fidelity in all K-2 classrooms beginning the 2023-2024 school year.

Goal Setting

Priority: Strodes Mills Elementary will continue the implementation of the Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System to determine student's independent and instructional reading levels, teachers are able to observe student reading behaviors one-on-one, engage in comprehension conversations that go beyond retelling, and make informed decisions that connect assessment to instruction.

Outcome Category					
English Language Arts	English Language Arts				
Measurable Goal Statement (Smart Go	al)				
The state of the s	I be reading at their respective grade leven be reading at their respective grade leven be read at the		•		
Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Charac	ter Max)	·	·		
Benchmark Assessment System					
Target 1st Quarter	Target 2nd Quarter	Target 3rd Quarter	Target 4th Quarter		
By November 1, 2023 25% of K-3	By January 30, 2024 50% of K-3	By March 30, 2024 75% of K-3	By June 1, 2024 90% of K-3 students		
students will be reading at their	students will be reading at their	students will be reading at their	will be reading at their respective		
respective grade level (Fountas and	respective grade level (Fountas and	respective grade level (Fountas and	grade level (Fountas and Pinnell		
Pinnell Instructional Level	Pinnell Instructional Level	Pinnell Instructional Level	Instructional Level Expectations for		
Expectations for Reading Chart) or	Expectations for Reading Chart) or	Expectations for Reading Chart) or	Reading Chart) or increase their		
increase their reading level by a set	increase their reading level by a set	increase their reading level by a set	reading level by a set number of		
number of levels from BOY	number of levels from BOY	number of levels from BOY	levels from BOY Benchmark		
Benchmark Assessment System to	Benchmark Assessment System to	Benchmark Assessment System to	Assessment System to EOY		
EOY Benchmark Assessment System.	EOY Benchmark Assessment System.	EOY Benchmark Assessment System.	Benchmark Assessment System.		

Priority: Strodes Mills will continue implementation of Spring Math interactive platform to combine streamlined tools for assessment, reporting, and intervention to provide a clear path to math achievement for every student.

,	•	•	
Outcome Category			
Mathematics			
Measurable Goal Statement (Smart Go	pal)		
By June 1, 2024 75% of Strodes Mills El	ementary K-3 students will have 'Met Tai	get' based on Spring Math School Overv	iew.
Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Charac	cter Max)		
Spring Math			
Target 1st Quarter	Target 2nd Quarter	Target 3rd Quarter	Target 4th Quarter
By November 1, 2023 20% of Strodes	By January 30, 2024 50% of Strodes	By March 30 2024 50% of Strodes	By June 1, 2024 75% of Strodes Mills
Mills Elementary K-3 students will	Mills Elementary K-3 students will	Mills Elementary K-3 students will	Elementary K-3 students will have
have 'Met Target' based on Spring	have 'Met Target' based on Spring	have 'Met Target' based on Spring	'Met Target' based on Spring Math
Math School Overview.	Math School Overview.	Math School Overview.	School Overview.

Priority: Strodes Mills will continue implementation of Heggerty Phonemic Awareness with all Kindergarten and Grade 1 students on a daily basis. K-1 students who demonstrate a need will receive an additional time to have Heggerty pretaught to them on a daily basis. Early Reading Intervention will be delivered throughout the entire school year as a tier of intervention to all K students who score below the 10th percentile on DIBELS/Acadience DCS at BOY and MOY. Flyleaf Decodable Readers will be implemented with Tier II K-3 students in guided reading groups. University of Florida Literacy Institute Phonics (UFLI) will be implemented with fidelity in all K-2 classrooms beginning the 2023-2024 school year.

beginning the 2023 2024 senior y				
Outcome Category				
Early Literacy				
Measurable Goal Statement (Smart Go	al)			
By June 1, 2024 85% of (kindergarten/fi	rst grade) students will be performing at o	or above the 25th percentile on their Rea	ding Composite Score in Acadience.	
Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Charac	ter Max)			
Tier I / Acadience Reading Composite	Tier I /Acadience Reading Composite			
Target 1st Quarter	Target 2nd Quarter	Target 3rd Quarter	Target 4th Quarter	
By November 1, 2023 70% of Strodes	By January 30, 2024 75% of Strodes	By March 30 2024 80% of Strodes	By June 1, 2024 85% of Strodes Mills	
Mills Elementary K-1 students will be	Mills Elementary K-1 students will be	Mills Elementary K-1 students will be	Elementary K-1 students will be	
performing at or above the 25th	performing at or above the 25th	performing at or above the 25th	performing at or above the 25th	
percentile on their Reading Composite	percentile on their Reading Composite	percentile on their Reading	percentile on their Reading	
Score in Acadience.	Score in Acadience.	Composite Score in Acadience.	Composite Score in Acadience	

Action Plan

Measurable Goals

Benchmark Assessment System	Spring Math
Tier I /Acadience Reading Composite	

Action Plan For: Heggerty Phonemic Awareness

Measurable Goals:

- By June 1, 2024 90% of K-3 students will be reading at their respective grade level (Fountas and Pinnell Instructional Level Expectations for Reading Chart) or increase their reading level by a set number of levels from BOY Benchmark Assessment System to EOY Benchmark Assessment System.
- By June 1, 2024 85% of (kindergarten/first grade) students will be performing at or above the 25th percentile on their Reading Composite Score in Acadience.

Action Step		Anticipated Start/Completion Date	
Kindergarten and Grade 1 teachers will continue to use Heggerty Phonemic Awareness in their daily curriculum.		2023-08-30	2024-06-03
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Kindergarten/Grade 1 Classroom Teachers	Heggerty Phonemic Awareness Curriculum	No	

Anticipated Output	Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method)
Increase in Acadience PSF and FSF for K and 1 students.	Acadience Data BOY/MOY/EOY

Action Plan For: RTI/SLD Determination

Measurable Goals:

- By June 1, 2024 90% of K-3 students will be reading at their respective grade level (Fountas and Pinnell Instructional Level Expectations for Reading Chart) or increase their reading level by a set number of levels from BOY Benchmark Assessment System to EOY Benchmark Assessment System.
- By June 1, 2024 75% of Strodes Mills Elementary K-3 students will have 'Met Target' based on Spring Math School Overview.
- By June 1, 2024 85% of (kindergarten/first grade) students will be performing at or above the 25th percentile on their Reading Composite Score in Acadience.

Ant Action Step		
		Start/Completion
	Date	
Evaluate the fidelity of MTSS framework components per PaTTAN's Multi-Tiered Systems of Supports (MTSS) Fidelity Tool: Enhancing	2023-08-	2024-06-

Response to Intervention (RTI)		30	03
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Kimberly	Federal Funds will support Training and consultation from PaTTAN and IU 11 staff, DIBELS benchmark and		
Tierney/School	progress monitoring data, QPS and PAST screening information, TOWRE-2, KTEA-3, and CTOPP-2 assessments,	Yes	
Psychologist	monthly team meetings, intervention materials (including ERI, 95% Group, WV Phonics, and Wilson)		

Anticipated Output	Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method)
At the completion of this series, our team will have created a framework under which we will implement our MTSS for reading system in K-3. This will include: benchmark assessments, implementation of evidenced based Tier 1, 2 and 3 supports, progress monitoring, data analysis, problem solving, and identification of specific learning disabilities in reading.	Ongoing feedback from PATTAN and TIU 11 staff to support SLD determination for eligibility in Reading.

Action Plan For: MTSS

Measurable Goals:

- By June 1, 2024 90% of K-3 students will be reading at their respective grade level (Fountas and Pinnell Instructional Level Expectations for Reading Chart) or increase their reading level by a set number of levels from BOY Benchmark Assessment System to EOY Benchmark Assessment System.
- By June 1, 2024 75% of Strodes Mills Elementary K-3 students will have 'Met Target' based on Spring Math School Overview.
- By June 1, 2024 85% of (kindergarten/first grade) students will be performing at or above the 25th percentile on their Reading Composite Score in Acadience.

Action Sten		Anticipated Start/Completion Date	
SMES will invest significant time, fiscal, and human resources in developing and implementing with fidelity a Multi-Tier System of Support (MTSS) model		2023-08-30	2024-06-03
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Frank Miller/Principal Kimberly	Federal Funds will support Universal Screeners/Evidence Based Interventions/Standards	Yes	
Tierney/School Psychologist	Based Core Curriculum/Reading and Math Intervention Teachers	163	

Anticipated Output	Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method)
Decrease in the number of students who need Tier II and Tier III levels of	Frequent and direct monitoring and charting student response to
support.	interventions

Action Plan For: PBIS

Measurable Goals:

- By June 1, 2024 90% of K-3 students will be reading at their respective grade level (Fountas and Pinnell Instructional Level Expectations for Reading Chart) or increase their reading level by a set number of levels from BOY Benchmark Assessment System to EOY Benchmark Assessment System.
- By June 1, 2024 75% of Strodes Mills Elementary K-3 students will have 'Met Target' based on Spring Math School Overview.
- By June 1, 2024 85% of (kindergarten/first grade) students will be performing at or above the 25th percentile on their Reading Composite Score in Acadience.

Action Step		Anticipated Start/Completion Date	
PBIS Ready, Responsible, Respectful and Safe expectations modeled to all students. Each grade level will take a turn planning quarterly celebrations.		2023-08-30	2024-06-03
Lead Person/Position Material/Resources/Supports Needed		PD Step?	
PBIS Core Team	Federal Funds will support PBIS Handbook/funding for PBIS rewards and celebrations.	Yes	

Anticipated Output	Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method)		
Students will demonstrate Ready, Responsible, Respectful and Safe behaviors will lead to a	Skward major and minor behavior referrals will be reviewed		
reduction of minor and major behavioral referrals.	on a monthly basis.		

Action Plan For: Spring Math

Measurable Goals:

• By June 1, 2024 75% of Strodes Mills Elementary K-3 students will have 'Met Target' based on Spring Math School Overview.

Action Step		Anticipated Start/Completion Date	
K-3 Classroom and Title I Instructor will administer Spring Math Assessment in Fall, Winter and Spring.		2023-08-30	2024-06-03
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Natalie Powell/Title I Math	Federal Funds will support Spring Math Assessment	No	

Anticipated Output	Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method)
Anticipated Output	iviolitoring/ Evaluation (i copic, i requelley, and ivictiou)

Action Plan For: Early Reading Intervention

Measurable Goals:

• By June 1, 2024 85% of (kindergarten/first grade) students will be performing at or above the 25th percentile on their Reading Composite Score in Acadience.

Action Step		Anticipated Sta	rt/Completion
Early Reading Intervention will be delivered to all K stude MOY.	nts who score below the 10th percentile on Acadience DCS at BOY and	2023-08-30	2024-06-03
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Mandy Hartzler/Sylvia Franklin/Zachary Sigler/ Title I Federal Funds will support Early Reading Intervention Kits/Title I			
Reading Specialists	Reading Specialists.	No	

Anticipated Output	Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method)
Improvement with DIBELS students' progress monitoring in FSF, PSF, NWF/WWR.	Acadience data and ERI placement checks

Action Plan For: Decodable Readers

Measurable Goals:

- By June 1, 2024 90% of K-3 students will be reading at their respective grade level (Fountas and Pinnell Instructional Level Expectations for Reading Chart) or increase their reading level by a set number of levels from BOY Benchmark Assessment System to EOY Benchmark Assessment System.
- By June 1, 2024 85% of (kindergarten/first grade) students will be performing at or above the 25th percentile on their Reading Composite Score in Acadience.

Action Step		Anticipated Start/Complet	ion Date
Implementation of Flyleaf Decodable	Literature Library into K-3 small group instruction for targeted students.	2023-08-30	2024-06-03
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Frank Miller/Principal K-3 Classroom Teachers	ARP/ESSER funds will be used to purchased complete classroom sets of Flyleaf Decodable Readers for all K-3 classrooms.	No	

Anticipated Output	Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method)	
Acadience ORF will increase. Decrease in the number of students who need Tier II	Acadience ORF Frequent and direct monitoring and charting student	
and Tier III levels of support.	response to interventions	

Action Plan For: University of Florida Literacy Institute Phonics (UFLI)

Measurable Goals:

- By June 1, 2024 90% of K-3 students will be reading at their respective grade level (Fountas and Pinnell Instructional Level Expectations for Reading Chart) or increase their reading level by a set number of levels from BOY Benchmark Assessment System to EOY Benchmark Assessment System.
- By June 1, 2024 85% of (kindergarten/first grade) students will be performing at or above the 25th percentile on their Reading Composite Score in Acadience.

Action Step		Anticipated Start/Completion Date	
Purchase and implementation of University of Florida Literacy Institute Phonics (UFLI) into core instruction for all K-2 students.		2023-08-30	2024-06-03
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Frank Miller/Building Principal K-2 Classroom Teachers	Frank Miller/Building Principal K-2 Classroom Teachers	Yes	

Anticipated Output	Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method)
Improvement with DIBELS students' progress monitoring in FSF, PSF, NWF/WWR.	Acadience data BOY/MOY/EOY

Action Plan For: Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling (LETRS) Professional Development

Measurable Goals:

- By June 1, 2024 90% of K-3 students will be reading at their respective grade level (Fountas and Pinnell Instructional Level Expectations for Reading Chart) or increase their reading level by a set number of levels from BOY Benchmark Assessment System to EOY Benchmark Assessment System.
- By June 1, 2024 85% of (kindergarten/first grade) students will be performing at or above the 25th percentile on their Reading Composite Score in Acadience.

Action St	p	Antici	pated

			Start/Completion Date	
Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling (LETRS) Professional Development offered to K-3 Classroom, Special		2023-06-01	2024-06-	
Education and Title I Teachers.			03	
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?		
Frank Miller/Building Principal Steven DeArment/Chief Academic Officer	Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling (LETRS) Professional Development Training Resources. TIU 11 Professional Development Facilitators for LETRS Units of Study.	Yes		

Anticinated ()utnut	Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method)
Increase in effectiveness of Reading and Spelling instruction in K-3 classrooms. Increase in number of K-3 students	Benchmark Assessment System EOY
reading at their respective grade level (Fountas and Pinnell Instructional Level Expectations for Reading Chart)	data.

Expenditure Tables

School Improvement Set Aside Grant

True School does not receive School Improvement Set Aside Grant.

Schoolwide Title 1 Funding Allocation

False School does not receive Schoolwide Title 1 funding.

Expenditure Description	Action Plan(s)	E-grant Budget Category (Schoolwide Funding)	Amount
4 Title I Reading/Math Teacher Salary/Benefits	 Heggerty Phonemic Awareness RTI/SLD Determination MTSS PBIS Spring Math Early Reading Intervention Decodable Readers University of Florida Literacy Institute Phonics (UFLI) Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling (LETRS) Professional Development 	Instruction	371945
Camp on the Way to Kindergarten Salary/Benefits	• MTSS	Instruction	8000
Camp on the Way to Kindergarten Transportation	• MTSS	Other Expenditures	3000
MTSS Supplies	Heggerty Phonemic	Instruction	5941

Awareness		
RTI/SLD Determin	ation	
• MTSS		
• PBIS		
Spring Math		
Early Reading		
Intervention		
Decodable Reade	rs	
University of Flori	da	
Literacy Institute		
(UFLI)		
Language Essentia	als for	
Teachers of Readi		
Spelling (LETRS)		
Professional		
Development		
otal Expenditures		I .

Professional Development

Professional Development Action Steps

Evidence-based Strategy	Action Steps
RTI/SLD Determination	Evaluate the fidelity of MTSS framework components per PaTTAN's Multi-Tiered Systems of
KTI/SED Determination	Supports (MTSS) Fidelity Tool: Enhancing Response to Intervention (RTI)
MTSS	SMES will invest significant time, fiscal, and human resources in developing and implementing with
W133	fidelity a Multi-Tier System of Support (MTSS) model
PBIS	PBIS Ready, Responsible, Respectful and Safe expectations modeled to all students. Each grade level
PDIS	will take a turn planning quarterly celebrations.
University of Florida Literacy Institute Phonics (UFLI)	Purchase and implementation of University of Florida Literacy Institute Phonics (UFLI) into core
Offiversity of Florida Literacy Institute Priorites (OFLI)	instruction for all K-2 students.
Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling	Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling (LETRS) Professional Development offered
(LETRS) Professional Development	to K-3 Classroom, Special Education and Title I Teachers.

PBIS Coaches Training

1 Bio codelles Trailing		
Action Step		
PBIS Ready, Responsible, Respectful and Safe expe	ectations modeled to all students. Each grade	level will take a turn planning quarterly celebrations.
Audience		
PBIS Building Coaches		
Topics to be Included		
Core Team Responsibilities, Incentives, Celebrations, St	taff Acknowledgements and Community Outr	each/Parental Engagement
Evidence of Learning	-	
Participation of all staff in at least one PBIS committee	to plan and implement PBIS with fidelity at S	MES.
Lead Person/Position	Anticipated Start	Anticipated Completion
PBIS Core Team	2023-08-24	2024-06-04

Learning Format

Type of Activities	Frequency
Coaching (peer-to-peer; school leader-to-teacher; other coaching models)	Monthly
	·

Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan

- 2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning
- 2c: Managing Classroom Procedures
- 2d: Managing Student Behavior
- 1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students
- 2a: Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport
- 3a: Communicating with Students

This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings

Teaching Diverse Learners in Inclusive Settings

RTI/SLD Determination

Action Step

• Evaluate the fidelity of MTSS framework components per PaTTAN's Multi-Tiered Systems of Supports (MTSS) Fidelity Tool: Enhancing Response to Intervention (RTI)

Audience

SMES K-3 faculty

Topics to be Included

Analysis of Acadience data

Evidence of Learning

SMES will utilize Pathways of Progress from Acadience to make decisions regarding tiered supports and/or moving to evaluation.

Lead Person/Position	Anticipated Start	Anticipated Completion
Kimberly Tierney/Psychologist Title I Reading Teachers	2023-08-22	2024-06-04

Learning Format

Type of Activities	Frequency
Collaborative curriculum development	Monthly

Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan

- 1f: Designing Student Assessments
- 1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy
- 4b: Maintaining Accurate Records
- 1e: Designing Coherent Instruction
- 3d: Using Assessment in Instruction
- 1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources
- 1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes
- 4c: Communicating with Families

This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings

Teaching Diverse Learners in Inclusive Settings

Problem Solving Team Meetings (PST)

Action Step

• SMES will invest significant time, fiscal, and human resources in developing and implementing with fidelity a Multi-Tier System of Support (MTSS) model

Audience

SMES K-3 Teachers, Title I, Integration Teachers, Special Education, Psychologist, Guidance Counselor, Principal.

Topics to be Included

Monitor student progress in all Tiers with analysis of Acadience, Benchmark Assessment System and Spring Math. Discuss core instruction and Tier I issues Research, design and implement effective interventions for at-risk students. (Tier II and Tier III). Discussion of recommendations from PaTTAN consultant (Dr. Collins) for MTSS framework, core curriculum, interventions and assessments for K-3 students.

Evidence of Learning

Utilization of all available data to make instructional decisions and increase efficiency of Tier I, Tier II and Tier III service delivery.

Lead Person/Position	Anticipated Start	Anticipated Completion
Frank Miller/Principal Kimberly Tierney/Psychologist Title I Reading Teachers	2023-08-22	2024-06-04

Learning Format

Type of Activities	Frequency
Collaborative curriculum development Monthly	
Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan	
1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students	
3d: Using Assessment in Instruction	

- 1f: Designing Student Assessments
- 1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes
- 4a: Reflecting on Teaching
- 1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources
- 4b: Maintaining Accurate Records
- 1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy
- 2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning
- 1e: Designing Coherent Instruction

This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings

Teaching Diverse Learners in Inclusive Settings

Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling (LETRS) Professional Development

Action Step

• Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling (LETRS) Professional Development offered to K-3 Classroom, Special Education and Title I Teachers.

Audience

All K-3 Regular Education, Special Education and Title I Teachers

Topics to be Included

Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling (LETRS) Professional Development Training Resources.

Evidence of Learning

Increase in effectiveness of Reading and Spelling instruction in K-3 classrooms. Increase in K-3 students reading at their respective grade level (Fountas and Pinnell Instructional Level Expectations for Reading Chart) Benchmark Assessment System EOY data.

Lead Person/Position	Anticipated Start	Anticipated
Ledu Feison/Fosition	Anticipated Start	Completion

Steven DeArment/Chief Academic Officer Frank Miller/Federal Programs Coordinator TIU 11 Professional	2022 00 22	2024 06 04
Development Facilitators for LETRS Units of Study.	2023-08-22	2024-06-04

Learning Format

Type of Activities	Frequency
Course(s)	Units 1-4 2023-2024 School & Year Units 5-8 2024-2025 School Year

Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan

- 1f: Designing Student Assessments
- 4b: Maintaining Accurate Records
- 1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy
- 3a: Communicating with Students
- 4d: Participating in a Professional Community
- 1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources
- 3d: Using Assessment in Instruction
- 1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students
- 3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques
- 4e: Growing and Developing Professionally
- 1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes
- 3c: Engaging Students in Learning
- 1e: Designing Coherent Instruction
- 4a: Reflecting on Teaching

This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings

Teaching Diverse Learners in Inclusive Settings

Approvals & Signatures

Uploaded Files

• RBM Minutes 07-27-2023 (1).pdf

Chief School Administrator	Date
Vance S. Varner	2023-08-17
Building Principal Signature	Date
Frank Miller	2023-07-31
School Improvement Facilitator Signature	Date